

DEC 3 0 2005

AFTER FINAL: ATTENTION TRAMMEL, J.

PTO/SB/97 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008 OMB 0851-0031
U.S. Petert and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a verild OMB control number

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office TO USPTO FAX NO(S).: 571-273-8300

Date

Lugare H. Valet

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

31435

Registration Number, if applicable

Telephone Number

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

(1) Supplement to Petition - 2 pp.

The collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.8. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.8 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petert and Tracement Office, U.S. Department of Commence, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AFTER FINAL COMMUNICATION VIA FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DEC 3 0 2005

URGENT ATTN: James Trammel, Supervisory Examiner, Art Unit 3821

SUPPLEMENT TO PETITION

IN RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF & SUPPLEMENTAL TO APPEAL BRIEF

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER GRANTED PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

GROUP ART UNIT:

3621

EXAMINER:

Backer, Firmin

INVENTOR(S):

Triola, C.R.

SERIAL NO .:

09/833,390

CONF. NO.:

3769

FILED:

April 11, 2001

SUBJECT:

Method and Apparatus for Processing Escrow Transactions

SUPPLEMENT TO SECOND PETITION TO INVOKE SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.181

TO: TH

THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ATTN: James Trammel, Supervisory Examiner, Art Unit 3621

This is in supplement to Appellant's pending Petition.

35 36

5

6 7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 **26**

27 28

30 31

32

33 34

The Office via Examiner Backer has instructed that it is a requirement that Appendix pages must be included in an Appeal Brief even when there are no Related Appeals and Interferences. This is not correct. On Aug. 12, 2004, at 69 Fed. Reg. 49960 et seq., the Office published:

39 40 41

38

"Comment 60: One comment requests clarification as to whether appendixes as required by §§ 41.37(c)(ix-x) are necessary at all when no evidence or related proceedings exist, or whether an appendix must be included with the indication "none."

43 44 45

46

Answer: Sections 41.37(c)(ix-x) require the appeal to contain an evidence appendix and a related proceedings appendix. If no evidence or related proceedings exist, an DOCKET NO. CRT044US / 952817-8

Page 1 of 2

evidence appendix should be included with the indication "none" and a related proceedings appendix should be included with the indication "none." In addition, a brief containing a Table of Contents indicating that no evidence appendix is part of the brief or that no related proceedings appendix is part of the brief would be acceptable under the Rule since it would clearly indicate that no evidence is being relied upon by the appellant in the appeal or that no related proceedings having decisions rendered by a court or the Board exist."

The originally filed Brief had such a Table of Contents, in addition to indicating at page 3 that there were no related proceedings. Examiner Backer issued a Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (NNCAB) on July 12, 2005; said NNCAB alleged only Box 9 issues. Appellant filed a Petition against said NNCAB on July 15, 2005. The Petition was granted on August 12, 2005. Due to the 30-day requirement in the Notice, Appellant had filed an amended Brief on August 11, 2005. Examiner Backer issued a second NNCAB on Dec. 14, 2005; now indicating there were alleged Box 8, 9, and 10 issues. Appellant filed a Petition against said second NNCAB. This Supplement further proves what Appellant has contended since July 2005. The Appeal Brief as originally filed was in full compliance with the Regulations and the MPEP.

The present application is under a granted Petition to Make Special. It should be ordered that further delay over spurious arguments as the form of the Brief are prohibited. It is respectfully requested that it be ordered that either the Final Office Action be withdrawn or that an Examiner's Reply be entered post haste.

All written communications must be sent via the address as indicated in the amended Appeal Brief and the twice filed SB/122. Questions or a teleconference may be directed to the undersigned at 904-261-9992, EST business hours 9 a.m.-11 a.m. and 1 p.m.-4 p.m.

Date: 30 DEC 2005

Respectfully submitted,

BY Eugene H. Valet
Attorney Reg. No. 31435
Richard Berg, Ladas & Parry

Fax: 1-323-934-0202 Tel.: 1-323-934-2300

DOCKET NO. CRT044US / 952817-8

Page 2 of 2